

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/829,781	04/10/2001	Tsung-Yuan Hsu	B-3916 617818-6	1039	
36716 7.	590 04/12/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LADAS & PA		NGUYEN, DUNG T			
	RE BOULEVARD, SUI SS, CA 90036-5679	1E 2100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2828		
			DATE MAILED: 04/12/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applio	ation No.	Applicant(s)	and				
		09/829	9,781	HSU ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit					
			Michael) T. Nguyen	2828					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI Insions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. O) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply ar will, by statute, cause the	cevent, however, may a repl statutory minimum of thirty ( and will expire SIX (6) MONTH application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timel IS from the mailing date of this countries  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status									
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>21 February</i>	2005.						
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)									
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-10 and 19-34 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>☒ Claim(s) 1-5,7-10 and 19-34 is/are rejected.</li> <li>☒ Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
,—	•	by the Examiner.	Note the attached C	Office Action of form P	10-152.				
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachmen	t(s)								
	ee of References Cited (PTO-892)			mmary (PTO-413)					
3) Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date			Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PT0 .	O-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 and 19-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5, 8-10, 19-28, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Esman (US5561546).

With respect to claims 1, 19, 22-23, 25, and 30, Esman shows in Fig.1 a laser system with self-injection locking, the system including a laser 12 having a laser output at a frequency wo (Fig.1d); an optical port 16b providing a portion of the laser output at the port; a modulator 14, coupled to the port, driven by a RF signal at a frequency wm 11 to generate two sidebands at wo +- wm (Fig.1a); a filter 16a and 16c (col.4, 1.40-47) coupled to the modulator for passing or suppressing one of the two sidebands of the signal wo +- wm (Fig.1c); and an

Art Unit: 2828

optical path 10 for coupling an output of the filter to the laser for injection locking the laser.

With respect to claim 2, Fig.1 discloses the modulator 14 is coupled to the laser 12 via an optical coupler 16.

With respect to claim 3, col.3, 1.4 discloses a Mach-Zehnder modulator.

With respect to claim 5, col.1, l.14 discloses an electro-optic modulator.

With respect to claims 8, 20, and 24, Fig.1 discloses the modulator 14 produces two carrier suppressed sidebands Fig.1a.

With respect to claim 9, Fig.1 discloses the filter 16a and 16c suppresses the carrier Fig.1c.

With respect to claims 10, 21, and 27, Fig.6 discloses a Bragg fiber grating 34.

Application/Control Number: 09/829,781

Art Unit: 2828

With respect to claim 26, col.7, 1.10 discloses the fiber optic cable.

With respect to claim 28, Fig.1 shows the optical path 10 including a free space portion around the optical fiber.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Esman (US5561546) in view of Kuo et al. (US6404590). Esman disclose all limitations of the claim except for the acousto-optic modulator. Kuo teach the acousto-optic modulator (col.3, 1.39). For the benefit of modulating the light beam to provide the efficiency of the laser system, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Esman what is taught by Kuo.

Application/Control Number: 09/829,781

Art Unit: 2828

Claims 7 and 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Esman (US5561546) in view of Yao (US5777778).

With respect to claim 7, Esman disclose all limitations of the claim except for the distributed feedback laser. Yao teaches the distributed feedback laser (col.12, l.17-18). For the benefit of obtaining a high reliable single frequency laser device in the ring laser, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Esman what is taught by Yao.

With respect to claims 31-34, Yao discloses the optical isolator (col.10, 1.53) to prevent any optical feedback.

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Esman (US5561546) in view of Wu et al. (US6639946). Esman disclose all limitations of the claim except for the SAW device. Wu teach the SAW device 20 in Fig.2. For the benefit of having the advantage of low noise distortion properties at high signal frequencies carrying high speed data, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Esman what is taught by Wu.

Application/Control Number: 09/829,781

Art Unit: 2828

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## **Communication Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Min Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

MINSUN OH HARVEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6

Michael Dung Nguyen